

Columbia University Uris Hall 3022 Broadway, Room 814 New York, NY 10027-6902 T. 212 854 0671 F. 212 662 8474 Jes322@columbia.edu

Joseph E. Stiglitz University Professor Finance and Economics

www.josephstiglitz.com

December 6, 2013

Dear TPP negotiators,

As trade negotiators, you are being asked to resolve a large number of important issues that have divided Parties in the negotiations for a Trans Pacific Partnership (TPP) agreement.

The decision to make the negotiating text secret from the public (even though the details are accessible to hundreds of advisors to big corporations) makes it difficult for the public to offer informed commentary. But the recent publication of the negotiating text for the intellectual property rights chapter by Wikileaks, and an earlier leak of the investor state dispute resolution proposals, as well as numerous reports in the business press, make it clear that the agreement presents grave risks on all sorts of topics.

As regards the provisions on intellectual property, negotiators should resist text that would, among other things:

- weaken the 2001 Doha Declaration on TRIPS and Public Health
- mandate extensions of patents terms
- mandate lower standards for granting patents on medicines
- mandate granting patents on surgical procedures,
- mandate monopolies of 12 years on test data for biologic drugs
- narrow the grounds for granting compulsory license on patents,
- increase damages for infringements of patents and copyrights,
- reduce space for exceptions as regards limits on injunctions, and
- narrow copyright exceptions
- requiring life+ 70 years of copyright protection,
- mandate excessive enforcement measures for digital information, and
- otherwise restrict access to knowledge.

At this point in time, we do not need a TRIPS plus trade agreement, we need a TRIPS minus agreement. The TPP proposes to freeze into a binding trade agreement many of the worst features of the worst laws in the TPP countries, making needed reforms extremely difficult if not impossible.



The investor state dispute resolution mechanisms should not be shrouded in mystery to the general public, while the same provisions are routinely discussed with advisors to big corporations.

Sincerely,

fayfettig

Joseph Stiglitz University Professor